Case 3:24-cv-01098-ZNO-TJB Document 48 Filed 03/06/24 Page 1 of 2 PageID: 660

Office of County Counsel

Emeshe Arzón County Counsel

Howard L. Goldberg

First Assistant County Counsel

Assistant County Counsel Antonieta Paiva Rinaldi Laura J. Paffenroth Matthew V. White Joseph T. Walsh Krista A. Schmid Brandon Hawkins Jeremy Garson



Making It Better, Together.

Courthouse, 6th Floor 520 Market Street Camden, NJ 08102-1375 phone 856.225.5543 fax 856.756.2244 counsel@camdencounty.com CamdenCounty.com

March 6, 2024

## Via Efiling

Honorable Zahid N. Quraishi United States District Court Clarkson S. Fisher Building 402 East State Street Trenton, NJ 08608

RE: Andy Kim, et al. v. Hanlon, et al.

Docket No.: 3:24-cv-01098-ZNQ-TJB

## Dear Judge Quraishi:

This office represents Camden County Clerk, Joseph Ripa in this matter. Given the short briefing schedule necessitated by the requirement of an expeditious resolution of Plaintiffs' application and the common positions of the numerous County Clerk defendants, there is no reason to burden the Court with repetitive submissions. Accordingly, I ask that Your Honor accept this letter as Clerk Ripa's opposition to the Preliminary Injunction.

Clerk Ripa relies upon and adopts the arguments and factual recitations set forth in the papers submitted by the Clerks of Cape May, Cumberland, Gloucester, Hunterdon and Salem Counties, as well as those that may be submitted by other County Clerks later today. The concerns expressed echo our concerns. Of most importance is that such a substantial change to a ballot design that has been in use in Camden County for decades, at this late stage and without adequate

Case 3:24-cv-01098-ZNQ-TJB Document 48 Filed 03/06/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 661

time to familiarize and educate the voters, would undoubtedly cause unnecessary confusion to the

public at a time in our history in which the integrity of our election processes is already under

unprecedented scrutiny and suspicion. Put another way, a constitutional challenge to our state's

election statutes mere weeks from the date those processes must be put into place is not something

that should be considered in such a rushed proceeding, especially where hundreds of potentially

interested party candidates and committees have not even been invited into the fray.

For these reasons, as well as those articulated in the papers of our co-defendants, the Court

should deny the Preliminary Injunction. The questions raised by plaintiffs will not disappear and

may certainly be explored and fully litigated for future elections. To decide them now, under the

pressures of an upcoming primary election preparation schedule, would be profoundly unfair to

the voting public.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL

By: Howard L. Goldberg

Howard L. Goldberg, Esquire

First Assistant County Counsel

Cc: All counsel of record - via efiling

2